

# **ETS®** Performance Assessment for Teacher Leaders (PATL)

Task 5: Collaboration with Families and the Community

Rubric for Step 1: The Needs Assessment (Textbox 5.1.1)

Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
A response at the 1 level provides minimal evidence of the teacher leader candidate's ability to facilitate the development and completion of a family and community needs assessment with colleagues; to use the results of the needs assessment to target an area of need that will improve the educational system and affect student learning opportunities; and to improve colleagues' collaboration and communication with the families and the community	A response at the 2 level provides partial evidence of the teacher leader candidate's ability to facilitate the development and completion of a family and community needs assessment with colleagues; to use the results of the needs assessment to target an area of need that will improve the educational system and affect student learning opportunities; and to improve colleagues' collaboration and communication with the families and the community	A response at the 3 level provides effective evidence of the teacher leader candidate's ability to facilitate the development and completion of a family and community needs assessment with colleagues; to use the results of the needs assessment to target an area of need that will improve the educational system and affect student learning opportunities; and to improve colleagues' collaboration and communication with the families and the community	A response at the 4 level provides extensive evidence of the teacher leader candidate's ability to facilitate the development and completion of a family and community needs assessment with colleagues; to use the results of the needs assessment to target an area of need that will improve the educational system and affect student learning opportunities; and to improve colleagues' collaboration and communication with the families and the community
and colleagues' understanding of diversity	and colleagues' understanding of diversity	and colleagues' understanding of diversity	and colleagues' understanding of diversity
and the family and	and the family and	and the family and	and the family and



Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
community culture in order to improve student learning.	community culture in order to improve student learning.	community culture in order to improve student learning.	community culture in order to improve student learning.
The preponderance of evidence for the 1-level criteria is <i>minimal</i> and/or <i>ineffective</i> throughout the response for Step 1. Evidence may also be missing.	The preponderance of evidence for the 2-level criteria is <i>limited</i> and/or vague throughout the response for Step 1.	The preponderance of evidence for the 3-level criteria is appropriate and connected throughout the response for Step 1.	The preponderance of evidence for the 4-level criteria is <i>insightful</i> and <i>tightly connected</i> throughout the response for Step 1.

# Rubric for Response for Textbox 5.1.1

Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:
little or no evidence of the facilitation and collaboration in developing a family and community needs assessment with colleagues	inconsistent evidence of the facilitation and collaboration in developing a family and community needs assessment with colleagues	appropriate evidence of the facilitation and collaboration in developing a family and community needs assessment with colleagues	significant evidence of the facilitation and collaboration in developing a family and community needs assessment with colleagues
ineffective evidence of the connection between the results of the needs assessment and the targeted area of need	partial evidence of the connection between the results of the needs assessment and the targeted area of need	complete evidence of the connection between the results of the needs assessment and the targeted area of need	thorough evidence of the connection between the results of the needs assessment and the targeted area of need
trivial explanation of how the targeted area of need will improve a relevant aspect of	uneven explanation of how the targeted area of need will improve a relevant aspect of	logical explanation of how the targeted area of need will improve a relevant aspect of	substantive explanation of how the targeted area of need will improve a relevant aspect of the educational



	Score of 1		Score of 2		Score of 3		Score of 4
	cational system and cudent learning		the educational system and affect student learning		the educational system and affect student learning		system and affect student learning
collabor the need identify improve collabor commur understa commur diversity	evidence of how the ative development of ds assessment helped opportunities to e colleagues' ation with, nication with, and anding of family and nity culture and y in order to improve learning	•	limited evidence of how the collaborative development of the needs assessment helped identify opportunities to improve colleagues' collaboration with, communication with, and understanding of family and community culture and diversity in order to improve student learning	•	informed evidence of how the collaborative development of the needs assessment helped identify opportunities to improve colleagues' collaboration with, communication with, and understanding of family and community culture and diversity in order to improve student learning	•	in-depth evidence of how the collaborative development of the needs assessment helped identify opportunities to improve colleagues' collaboration with, communication with, and understanding of family and community culture and diversity in order to improve student learning

#### Rubric for Step 2: Development and Implementation of the Plan (Textbox 5.2.1)

Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
A response at the 1 level provides minimal evidence of the teacher leader candidate's ability to develop a plan of action based on the targeted area of need; to use knowledge of various backgrounds, ethnicities, and cultures within the school and community to influence the group's planning and implementation of the plan;	A response at the 2 level provides partial evidence of the teacher leader candidate's ability to develop a plan of action based on the targeted area of need; to use knowledge of various backgrounds, ethnicities, and cultures within the school and community to influence the group's planning and implementation of the plan;	A response at the 3 level provides effective evidence of the teacher leader candidate's ability to develop a plan of action based on the targeted area of need; to use knowledge of various backgrounds, ethnicities, and cultures within the school and community to influence the group's planning and implementation of the plan;	A response at the 4 level provides extensive evidence of the teacher leader candidate's ability to develop a plan of action based on the targeted area of need; to use knowledge of various backgrounds, ethnicities, and cultures within the school and community to influence the group's planning and implementation of the plan;
and to analyze the role in	and to analyze the role in	and to analyze the role in	and to analyze the role in



Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
facilitating the development and implementation of the plan that includes specific adult-learning strategies used with colleagues.	facilitating the development and implementation of the plan that includes specific adult-learning strategies used with colleagues.	facilitating the development and implementation of the plan that includes specific adult-learning strategies used with colleagues.	facilitating the development and implementation of the plan that includes specific adult-learning strategies used with colleagues.
The preponderance of evidence for the 1-level criteria is <i>minimal</i> and/or <i>ineffective</i> throughout the response for Step 2. Evidence may also be missing.	The preponderance of evidence for the 2-level criteria is <i>limited</i> and/or vague throughout the response for Step 2.	The preponderance of evidence for the 2-level criteria is appropriate and connected throughout the response for Step 2.	The preponderance of evidence for the 2-level criteria is <i>insightful</i> and <i>tightly connected</i> throughout the response for Step 2.

# Rubric for Response for Textbox 5.2.1

	Score of 1	Score of 2		Score of 3		Score of 4
	Response provides evidence that ncludes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:		esponse provides evidence that cludes the following:		sponse provides evidence that cludes the following:
•	trivial evidence of using the knowledge of various backgrounds, ethnicities, and cultures within the school and community to influence colleagues' planning and implementation of the plan	partial evidence of using the knowledge of various backgrounds, ethnicities, and cultures within the school and community to influence colleagues' planning and implementation of the plan	•	effective evidence of using the knowledge of various backgrounds, ethnicities, and cultures within the school and community to influence colleagues' planning and implementation of the plan	•	insightful evidence of using the knowledge of various backgrounds, ethnicities, and cultures within the school and community to influence colleagues' planning and implementation of the plan
•	ineffective evidence of facilitating the development and implementation of the plan by using specific adult-	uneven evidence of facilitating the development and implementation of the plan by using specific adult-	•	relevant evidence of facilitating the development and implementation of the plan by using specific adult-	•	significant evidence of facilitating the development and implementation of the plan by using specific adult-



Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
learning strategies used with colleagues			

#### Rubric for Step 3: Overall Analysis and Reflection (Textboxes 5.3.1 and 5.3.2)

Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
A response at the 1 level	A response at the 2 level	A response at the 3 level	A response at the 4 level
provides <i>minimal</i> evidence of	provides <i>partial</i> evidence of	provides <i>effective</i> evidence of	provides <i>extensive</i> evidence
the teacher leader	the teacher leader	the teacher leader	of the teacher leader
candidate's ability to analyze the successes and challenges	candidate's ability to analyze the successes and challenges	candidate's ability to analyze the successes and challenges	candidate's ability to analyze the successes and challenges
encountered with colleagues	encountered with colleagues	encountered with colleagues	encountered with colleagues
during the planning and			
implementing process; to	implementing process; to	implementing process; to	implementing process; to
determine how the process			
improved colleagues'	improved colleagues'	improved colleagues'	improved colleagues'
understanding of the needs			
of the educational system			
and students and	and students and	and students and	and students and
understanding of strategies	understanding of strategies	understanding of strategies	understanding of strategies
for collaboration and	for collaboration and	for collaboration and	for collaboration and
communication with families	communication with families	communication with families	communication with families
and the community; to reflect			
on the feedback received			
from colleagues during and following the process; and to	from colleagues during and following the process; and to	from colleagues during and following the process; and to	from colleagues during and following the process; and to
determine how feedback from			
colleagues and analysis of			
the process will affect future			
facilitation of colleagues'	facilitation of colleagues'	facilitation of colleagues'	facilitation of colleagues'



Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
collaboration with families and the community to improve the educational system and student learning.	collaboration with families and the community to improve the educational system and student learning.	collaboration with families and the community to improve the educational system and student learning.	collaboration with families and the community to improve the educational system and student learning.
The preponderance of evidence for the 1-level criteria is <i>minimal</i> and/or <i>ineffective</i> throughout the response for Step 3. Evidence may also be missing.	The preponderance of evidence for the 2-level criteria is <i>limited</i> and/or vague throughout the response for Step 3.	The preponderance of evidence for the 3-level criteria is appropriate and connected throughout the response for Step 3.	The preponderance of evidence for the 4-level criteria is <i>insightful</i> and <i>tightly connected</i> throughout the response for Step 3.

## Rubric for Response for Textbox 5.3.1

Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:
<ul> <li>inaccurate evidence of an analysis of the successes and challenges that the group encountered with colleagues during the planning and implementation process</li> </ul>	cursory evidence of an analysis of the successes and challenges that the group encountered with colleagues during the planning and implementation process	informed evidence of an analysis of the successes and challenges that the group encountered with colleagues during the planning and implementation process	in-depth evidence of an analysis of the successes and challenges that the group encountered with colleagues during the planning and implementation process
<ul> <li>inappropriate evidence of how the process improved colleagues' understanding of the needs of the educational system and students</li> </ul>	limited evidence of how the process improved colleagues' understanding of the needs of the educational system and students	appropriate evidence of how the process improved colleagues' understanding of the needs of the educational system and students	significant evidence of how the process improved colleagues' understanding of the needs of the educational system and students



Score of 1		Score of 2		Score of 3		Score of 4
ineffective evidence of how the process improved the colleagues understanding of the strategies for collaboration and communication with families and the community	•	partial evidence of how the process improved the colleagues understanding of the strategies for collaboration and communication with families and the community	•	effective evidence of how the process improved the colleagues understanding of the strategies for collaboration and communication with families and the community	•	insightful evidence of how the process improved the colleagues understanding of the strategies for collaboration and communication with families and the community

## Rubric for Response for Textbox 5.3.2

Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:
inaccurate evidence of how the impact of feedback received from colleagues during and following the process and how an analysis of the feedback will affect future facilitation of colleagues' collaboration with families and the community to improve the educational system and student learning	cursory evidence of how the impact of feedback received from colleagues during and following the process and how an analysis of the feedback will affect future facilitation of colleagues' collaboration with families and the community to improve the educational system and student learning	informed evidence of how the impact of feedback received from colleagues during and following the process and how an analysis of the feedback will affect future facilitation of colleagues' collaboration with families and the community to improve the educational system and student learning	in-depth evidence of how the impact of feedback received from colleagues during and following the process and how an analysis of the feedback will affect future facilitation of colleagues' collaboration with families and the community to improve the educational system and student learning



#### Rubric for Responses for all Textboxes

Score of 1	Score of 2	Score of 3	Score of 4
Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:	Response provides evidence that includes the following:
<ul> <li>disconnected and/or trivial examples to support the responses</li> </ul>	loosely connected and/or cursory examples to support the responses	connected and effective     examples to support the     responses	tightly connected and detailed examples to support the responses

Copyright © 2020 by Educational Testing Service. All rights reserved. ETS and the ETS logo are registered trademarks of Educational Testing Service (ETS) in the United States and other countries.