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Directions for Using the Probes

The teacher probes listed for each assessment item give teachers ideas about how to gain further insights into student responses. While the students are working in pairs, you might:

- use the questions provided for each item to gain information about the language aspects that are either supporting or impeding comprehension
- use the information given in teacher considerations for each probe to guide your opportunities to “listen in” to the partner discussions as you are observing the students working together.

Your insights from the probes can be used to plan any needed instructional intervention individually or to the class to support improved reading comprehension.

Remember:

- You can decide if there are particular items on the assessment that you want to have more information about and use the questions for those items only.
- After using a probe, depending on the student response, you may need to think of further probes to deepen your insights into his/her reading comprehension.
- You do not have to use all the probes for each item on the assessment.

There are three kinds of questions in this guide:

- **Initial question probes**: If the students provide the correct answer on the assessment form, use the initial question probes to ascertain how they arrived at the answer.
- **Drill down probes**: These questions are designed to give you more information about the thinking behind students’ answers. There are two uses for questions found in the drill down probes.
  - If you think that the students’ correct answers to your questions do not contain sufficient evidence of appropriate knowledge and strategies, then move to the drill-down questions.
  - If the students’ responses are incorrect on the assessment form, then go straight to the drill down questions.
- **Teacher considerations**: The questions found in this section are in general organized by word-, sentence-, and discourse-level features. These questions provide an interpretative framework for you to help you draw inferences about the student responses and guide you to specific areas you may want to probe with further questions. The information you obtain from these questions will help you make instructional adjustments or provide feedback to the students that will help them move their own learning forward.

Please refer to the Glossary for definitions of linguistic and reading terms used in the probes. The Glossary is found in *ELFA Teacher’s Guide*. 
Using Evidence from the Probes
Below is a vignette of how a teacher uses the probes for Item 10 of America’s Problem with Screens Part 1 to gather evidence in support of student learning.

**Context:** A class of sixth grade students. Prior to the administration of America’s Problem with Screens Part 1, the students have been learning about persuasive text. They have focused on understanding several aspects of persuasive text, including differentiating between fact and opinion.

In pairs, the students have begun working on Part 1 of the assessment. As they work, the teacher circulates around the pairs listening in to their conversations. Once the students begin to identify their answers, the teacher starts to focus on their responses.

The teacher notices that a pair of students selected the incorrect answer for Item 10. They identified the following statement as a fact, “It is time for us to change our habits and spread the word about screen time.”

**Teacher:** [I want to understand why these students think this is a fact.] Can I ask you a question?

**Students:** [Nod yes.]

**Teacher:** Why did you choose that answer for number 10?

**Student 1:** Because it’s real.

**Teacher:** What do you mean by real?

**Student 1:** Because the article says that we have to change our habits. And that’s real because it’s bad for you.

**Student 2:** Yeah…that’s it. It’s what the author tells us.

**Teacher:** I see. So are you saying that the author is saying that screen time is bad for you?

**Students:** Yeah.

**Teacher:** [Pointing to the sentence in number 10:] Where in the sentence does it say that screen time is bad for you?

**Student 2:** It says it’s time to change.

**Teacher:** Yes, that’s right. That’s what it says. Why is it time to change?

**Student 1:** Because kids eat too much bad stuff when they’re watching TV.

**Teacher:** Yes, that’s an important fact from the article. Is that information in the sentence?

**Students:** [Pause. Students are looking at the sentence.]
**Teacher:** Can one of you read the sentence out loud?

**Student 1:** [Reads out loud.]

**Teacher:** Now you’ve heard the sentence again. Do you think that fact is in the sentence?

**Student 2:** Umm...I guess not.

**Teacher:** So let’s think a little bit more about what the author is telling us in the sentence. What action is the author telling us to take?

**Student 2:** To change our habits.

**Student 1:** Yeah. And like to spread the word.

**Teacher:** And do you think that these are facts?

**Students:** Maybe.

**Teacher:** So when the author says “it is time” to do these things, is he giving us a fact or an opinion?

**Student 1:** [Pause.] I think it might be an opinion ‘cause he’s saying it’s time to do it ‘cause like he thinks if we don’t do this, it’ll get bad.

**Student 2:** Yeah, that’s right! ‘Cause if we watch too much TV, it’ll be bad so he thinks it’s time we did something...so that’s his opinion.

**Student 1:** Yeah, that’s like his opinion.

**Teacher:** Yes, I agree. Using the phrase “it is time” gives us a clue that this is the author’s opinion about what we should do. The author is basing his opinion on the facts in the article, such as the one you mentioned earlier from the paragraph where the author is describing the research on the health effects of screen time. [The teacher then reads aloud the sentence in the article], “In addition, the research has shown that people who spend more time in front of screens consume more high calorie foods, such as candy and soda.”

**Teacher:** Now that we’ve talked about your answer for number 10, I would like you to go back and think about your answers for numbers 11 and 12 and decide if you still agree with the answers you chose. Thanks.

**Students:** Okay.

Teacher moves to another pair.
Directions:

In this activity you are going to read an article from a nature magazine. The author of the article is John Coates. Mr. Coates wants to persuade you. He wants you to agree with him. Your job is to read the article and answer questions. Later, you will read another article by Monique Thomas. Ms. Thomas disagrees with John Coates. In the end, you have to decide who you agree with.

Before you read...

Look at the article by John Coates. Look at the title, the photo, and the first sentence of each paragraph.

What do you think John Coates’ article is going to be about? Talk to your partner and finish the sentence below.

*I think this article is going to be about: ______________________________ ________

____________________________________________________________

____________________________________________________________.

While you read...

Now read Mr. Coates’ article. While you read the article:

- Underline any words that you don’t know.
- Try to guess the meaning of the words from the context.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 (full credit)</td>
<td>The response mentions honey badgers AND some notion of the need to protect them from the danger that they face. (e.g., <em>I think this article is going to be about how to protect honey badgers</em>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (partial credit)</td>
<td>The response mentions honey badgers, but doesn’t fully convey the notion that they need protection. (e.g., <em>I think this article is going to be about the amazing honey badger</em>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 (no credit)</td>
<td>The student’s response mentions neither honey badgers NOR protection. It is completely off base (e.g., <em>I think this article is going to be about: humans hunt animals for no reason</em>)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
After you have finished reading...

Now you will work with your partner to answer questions. You will write down your own answers, but discuss them with your partner.

Tips for working together:

• Discuss the questions to make sure you understand them.
• Try to agree on the answers before you write them down. (Remember: You can look back at the article at any time.)
• Ask your partner about things you don’t understand.

When you are ready, go to the next page
Directions: For the questions on this page, think about the topic of the article, the main idea, and the author’s main argument (his main point or message).

Before you decide whether you agree or disagree, think about what the author is saying. Answer this question with your partner.

What is the article about? It is about protecting honey badgers from farmers and protecting farm animals from honey badgers.

1. What is the topic of the article? Circle the best answer.
   A. Problems caused by honey badgers.  
   B. Keeping honey badgers safe from humans.  
   C. Traditional medicine made from honey badgers.  
   D. The honey badger’s amazing strength.

2. What is the author’s main argument in Protecting a Strong Animal?
   A. Honey badgers are stronger than most people realize.  
   B. Biologists should do more to help honey badgers.
Initial Question Probes:

(1) What is the difference between the topic of something you read, the main idea of what you read, and the main argument in the reading?

(2) What are some similarities between the main idea and main argument?

Teacher Considerations:

To encourage students to learn from one another’s understandings, write down their ideas on a white board or other visible place where the students can see their answers grouped together under headings such as topic, main idea, and main argument. Then discuss the answers to clarify any misunderstandings revealed.

If students are struggling with understanding how to distinguish between these concepts, have them participate in a compare and contrast activity, (e.g., working on Venn diagrams in groups). This activity can be done with the text. As the students are working together take note of their discussions and the categories in the Venn diagram to determine if there are still confusions.

Students can also explore the text in more depth by working in pairs to identify and find similar patterns in the text where the author is trying to communicate an argument, describe a topic, express an idea, etc.

Item 1

Initial Question Probe:

(1) Why did you choose that answer?

If students do not give a clear explanation that draws on knowledge of language and text structure to indicate how they’ve correctly identified the main idea, go to drill down question(s). For example, are students only using a test-taking strategy such as matching the answer to a sentence in the text or visual? Or are students drawing on their knowledge of language and text structure to answer questions?

Drill Down Question:

(1) Did you use clues in the text and in the question to help you answer this question? What were they?

(2) Can you put the main idea in your own words? (If student says “no,” ask why it’s a problem for them.)

(Item 1 Teacher Considerations continued on next page)
Item 1 (cont.)
Teacher Considerations:

(1) **Word level**: Can students infer from the words throughout the text, like *disappearing, hunt, trap, protect, keep...safe, extinct, poison, hurt*, that the main idea from the article is keeping honey badgers safe from humans?

---

Item 2
Initial Question Probe:

(1) Why did you choose that answer?

If students do not give a clear explanation that draws on knowledge of language and text structure go to drill down question(s).

Drill Down Question:

(1) Did you use clues in the text and in the question to help you answer this question? What were they?

Teacher Considerations:

(1) **Word level**: Are students using their knowledge of verbs (especially modals) to help determine their answers? (e.g., *should, will probably, believe*) In the last sentence, do students understand that the pronoun *they* refers to *biologist*?

(2) **Discourse level**: Do students know that the main argument is found in the thesis statement, which is usually the last sentence of the first/introductory paragraph? Do students use their understanding of the genre text structure to help them make their answer choice (e.g., looking carefully at the introduction and conclusion to determine the main argument)?

---

**Notes**

---

---

---

---

---

---

---
Directions: In this section you need to understand details (specific information) from the article.

Warm-up:

In paragraph 2, the author tells about an internet video. These pictures show scenes from the video, but the scenes are in the wrong order.

- Write numbers in the circles to put the scenes in the right order.
- One has been done for you.

3 4 2 1
Directions: There is a summary of paragraph 3 in the table below, but the sentences are in the incorrect order.

- To answer questions 3 – 5, put the sentences in the correct order so the summary makes sense.
- Draw lines to show which sentence is first, second, third, and last.
- One line has been drawn for you.

Example:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Incorrect Order</th>
<th>Correct Order</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>But there are safe ways to protect bees and farm animals without hurting honey badgers.</td>
<td>first</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As a result, in some areas the honey badger has completely disappeared.</td>
<td>second</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beekeepers and farmers sometimes use traps and poison to kill honey badgers</td>
<td>third</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For example, they can use fences to protect the farm animals, or raise the beehives off the ground.</td>
<td>last</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Getting the Details
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Items 3-5

Initial Question Probe:

(1) Why did you choose that answer?

If students do not give a clear explanation that draws on knowledge of language and text structure of Paragraph 3 go to drill down question(s).

Drill Down Question:

(1) Did you use clues in the text and in the question to help you answer this question? What were they?

Teacher Considerations:

(1) **Word level**: Are students using their knowledge of verbs to help determine their answers? (e.g., should, will probably, believe)?

(2) **Sentence level**: To explain their answer choice, do students use the fact that the subject of item 4 is “the beekeepers and farmers” and that their actions are motivating the sequence of ideas and so should come first in the sequence? Do students point out the phrase “As a result” (item 3) to infer there needs to be a preceding idea (and so cannot come first in the sequence)? Do students mention the phrase, “For example” as a clue that this sentence (item 5) is a detail of something preceding it and so should come at the end of the sequence?

(3) **Discourse level**: Do students notice that the order the ideas are presented in the paragraph corresponds to the correct sequencing of ideas?

Note: It may be helpful to probe students further on their understanding of the functions of the transitional expressions at the beginning of most of the sentences in these items.

Notes

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________
Directions: In questions 6 and 7, decide whether the sentences are correct or incorrect based on the information in the article.

- If a sentence is correct, circle Correct.
- If a sentence is incorrect, circle Incorrect AND quote the article to explain why it is incorrect.
- Two examples have been done for you.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SENTENCES</th>
<th>ANSWERS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Honey badgers are small animals that live in Africa, the Middle East, and India.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In most areas, the honey badger has disappeared.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The article says, “in some areas honey badgers are disappearing.” It doesn’t say that the honey badger has disappeared in most areas.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There is no way to protect bees and farm animals from the honey badger.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The article says “There are safe, cheap ways to protect bees and farm animals. For example, fences can be built around the farms to keep the honey badgers out.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eating honey badgers can make humans strong and brave.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The article says “there is no scientific evidence that this is true. Nobody has ever studied whether or not eating the honey badger can improve our health.”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 (full credit) The statement is identified as “incorrect” AND at least one specific reason from the article is given as a quotation (see examples).

1 (partial credit) The statement is identified as “incorrect,” but there is NOT a satisfactory explanation of why. If an explanation is present, it may not include information from the article, may include irrelevant information, or may be illegible or incomprehensible.

0 (no credit) The response is blank or doesn’t indicate that the statement is incorrect.
Item 6
Initial Question Probe:

(1) Why did you choose that answer?

If students do not refer to paragraph 3 that begins with “There are safe and cheap ways,” then move to the drill down questions.

Drill Down Question:

(1) Did you use clues in the text and in the question to help you answer this question? What were they?

Teacher Considerations:

(1) **Word level**: Are the students able to understand the terms way and ways as indicating the means to keep or not keep bee and farm animals safe?

(2) **Sentence level**: Do students understand (a) what no way means in the question; and (b) that safe and cheap ways is the opposite of no ways in this context (and therefore make the answer is incorrect)? Can students identify where in the text it refers to ways to protect bees and farm animals in order to explain why the prompt statement is incorrect?

Notes

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________
Item 7
Initial Question Probe:

(1) Why did you choose that answer?

If students do not refer to the second and third sentences in paragraph 5, then move to the drill down questions.

Drill Down Question:

(1) Did you use clues in the text and in the question to help you answer this question? What were they?

Teacher Considerations:

(1) **Word level**: Do students understand the contrastive function of the word *however* in the 3rd sentence of the 4th paragraph, which indicates that the previous statement is untrue, i.e., believing that eating honey badgers can make you strong and brave? Do students understand that the word *this* in the phrase “no scientific evidence that this is true” refers to beliefs about eating honey badgers?

(2) **Sentence level**: Do students understand that the fact that there is “no scientific evidence” implies that the idea of gaining power from eating honey badgers is false?

Notes

____________________________________________________________________________________

____________________________________________________________________________________

____________________________________________________________________________________

____________________________________________________________________________________

____________________________________________________________________________________

____________________________________________________________________________________

____________________________________________________________________________________

____________________________________________________________________________________

____________________________________________________________________________________

____________________________________________________________________________________
Directions: For questions 8, 9, and 10, read the sentences in the table.

- Check (✓) Fact if the sentence expresses a fact.
- Check Opinion if the sentence expresses an opinion.
- One example has been done for you.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sentences from this article</th>
<th>Fact</th>
<th>Opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Example:</strong> In some areas, honey badgers are disappearing. (paragraph 1)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 The honey badger is an amazing animal. (paragraph 2).</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 There is a famous video on the internet that shows a fight between a honey badger and a puff adder. (paragraph 2)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 There is no good reason for people to kill honey badgers. (paragraph 5)</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Item 8
Initial Question Probes:

(1) Why did you choose that answer?

(2) How did you know that the sentence was an opinion?

If students do not refer to the evaluative adjective “amazing” as a clue that the sentence in the prompt is an opinion, then move to the drill down questions.

Drill Down Question:

(1) Did you use clues in the text and in the question to help you answer this question? What were they?

Teacher Considerations:

(1) Word level: Do students understand the word “amazing” signals a particular point of view?

(2) Discourse level: Do students understand that the paragraph begins with the opinion followed by the facts on which the opinion is based?

Item 9
Initial Question Probes:

(1) Why did you choose that answer?

(2) How did you know that the sentence was a fact?

If students do not refer to the prepositional phrase “on the internet” and the relative clause “that shows a fight between a honey badger and a puff adder” as clues about the existence of the video, then move to the drill down questions.

Drill Down Question:

(1) Did you use clues in the text and in the question to help you answer this question? What were they?

(Item 9 Teacher Considerations continued on next page)
Item 9 (cont.)
Teacher Considerations:

(1) **Word level:** Even though the word “famous” can convey an opinion, do students understand that the rest of the ideas in the sentence is factual? (See Sentence level considerations for an extension of this idea.)

(2) **Sentence level:** The sentence contains a prepositional phrase and a relative clause that provide the factual information about the existence of the video.

Item 10
Initial Question Probes:

(1) Why did you choose that answer?

(2) How did you know that the sentence was an opinion?

If students do not refer to the evaluative phrase “no good reason” as a clue that the sentence in the prompt is an opinion, then move to the drill down questions.

Drill Down Question:

(1) Did you use clues in the text and in the question to help you answer this question? What were they?

Teacher Considerations:

(1) **Word level:** Do students understand the phrase “no good reason” signals a particular point of view?

Notes
Directions: For questions 11 – 13, think about what words mean.

• Hint: to find the answers you may need to use clues from the context—the words that come before and after.

11 Find and circle the word “areas” in paragraph 1. Here are three dictionary definitions for the word “area”. Which of these definitions goes with the meaning of “areas” in paragraph 1?

   a) area: A field of research or study, such as biology
   b) area: A part of a larger place, such as a region
   c) area: The amount of space inside a shape

12 Find and circle the word “traditional” in paragraph 4. Which of these words is related to “traditional”?

   a) Old
   b) New
   c) Large
   d) Expensive

13 Find and circle the word “nevertheless” in paragraph 4. Choose the word or words that could replace “nevertheless” in the sentence.

   a) Less and less
   b) However
   c) Because of
   d) As a result
**Item 11**

*Initial Question Probes:*

1. Why did you choose that answer?

2. How did you know that “area” used in paragraph 1 was “a part of a larger place, such as a region”?

   If students do not refer to the phrase “lives in parts of Africa, the Middle East, and India” as a clue that “area” means “place” instead of “field of research” or “amount of space,” then move to the drill down questions.

**Drill Down Question:**

1. Did you use clues in the text and in the question to help you answer this question? What were they?

**Teacher Considerations:**

1. **Word level:** Do students understand that the use of the word “area” in the paragraph is an umbrella term for the places such as Africa, Middle East, and India?

2. **Discourse level:** Do students understand that the context clues for understanding the meaning of the word “area” are not in the adjacent sentences? Instead, students have to look in sentences earlier in the paragraph for context clues (e.g., the sentence referring to “parts of Africa, Middle East, and India”).

**Item 12**

*Initial Question Probes:*

1. Why did you choose that answer?

2. How did you know that “traditional” used in paragraph 4 was “old”?

   If students do not refer specific evidence in the text, then move to the drill down questions.

**Drill Down Question:**

1. Did you use clues in the text and in the question to help you answer this question? What were they?

   (Item 12 **Teacher Considerations** continued on next page)
Item 12 (cont.)
Teacher Considerations:

(1) **Word level**: Do students understand that they can make inferences about time from phrases in the text, such as “nobody has studied” and “people continue,” that provide clues that “traditional” in this context means “old”?

(2) **Discourse level**: Do students understand that the context clues for understanding the meaning of the word “traditional” are not in the adjacent sentences? Instead, students have to look in sentences later in the paragraph for context clues.

Item 13
Initial Question Probes:

(1) Why did you choose that answer?

(2) How did you know that “however” was the correct answer to replace “nevertheless” used in paragraph 4?

If students do not refer specific evidence in the text, then move to the drill down questions.

Drill Down Question:

(1) Did you use clues in the text and in the question to help you answer this question? What were they?

Teacher Considerations:

(1) **Sentence level**: Does the student understand that because of the logic in paragraph 4, s/he should choose answer B (“however”) rather than answer D (“as a result”), since B denotes the contrastive logic whereas D denotes the causal and sequential relationship?

Notes
Directions: Now think about **word parts**—the chunks that make up words.

- In the table below, look at the word parts and the examples from the article.
- Circle the meaning of each word part (one choice will not be used!)
- Then think of your own example and write it in the blank.
- An example has been done for you.

### Table: Word Parts and Examples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Word Part</th>
<th>Example From The Article</th>
<th>Meaning (circle):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>dis-</strong></td>
<td><strong>disappeared</strong></td>
<td>A person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>(paragraph 3)</strong></td>
<td>Full of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The opposite of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Between</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>-ous</strong></td>
<td><strong>poisonous</strong></td>
<td>A person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>(paragraph 2)</strong></td>
<td>Full of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The opposite of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Between</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>inter-</strong></td>
<td><strong>internet</strong></td>
<td>A person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>(paragraph 2)</strong></td>
<td>Full of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The opposite of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Between</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**My own example:**

1. **dis-**
   - dis-appeared (paragraph 3)
   - **dislike**

2. **-ous**
   - poisonous (paragraph 2)
   - **dangerous**

3. **inter-**
   - internet (paragraph 2)
   - **interact**

---

1 (full credit) for each item

- #14: The word entered by the student is a real word that clearly contains the word part (suffix) “-ous,” having the meaning full of. Examples include dangerous, famous, and humorous.
- #15: The word entered by the student is a real word that clearly contains the word part (prefix) “inter-,” having the meaning between. Examples include interact, interview, and interpret.

0 (no credit) The words entered by the student are not real words, or do not really contain the word parts “-ous” or “inter-.”
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Item 14
Initial Question Probes:

(1) Why did you choose that answer?

(2) How did you know that the suffix “-ous” used in poisonous in paragraph 2 meant “Full of”? If students do not refer specific evidence in the text, then move to the drill down questions.

Drill Down Question:

(1) Did you use clues in the text and in the question to help you answer this question? What were they?

Teacher Considerations:

(1) Word level: Do students understand that the word poisonous is an adjective and so must modify a noun? With this understanding, the only answer that makes sense is “full of” since the others wouldn’t logically modify a noun. Do students understand what “poison” means? This would be necessary for making this deduction. Do students’ own examples show that they understand the word part as meaning “full of?”

(2) Sentence level: Do students refer back to the text to see how the word “poisonous” is used in the article? Specifically, noticing that it is used to describe a snake.

Item 15
Initial Question Probes:

(1) Why did you choose that answer?

(2) How did you know that the prefix “inter-” used in internet in paragraph 2 meant “between”? If students do not refer to their knowledge of the word “internet” as a set of connected networks, then move to the drill down questions.

Drill Down Question:

(1) Did you use clues in the text and in the question to help you answer this question? What were they?

Teacher Considerations:

(1) Word level: If students do not know the definition of the word “internet,” the teacher should tell students the definition and ask students to infer what the prefix –inter means.
Directions: With your partner, brainstorm two more words with the word part “dis-”.

- Remember that “dis-” means “opposite”, so the words you choose should have a meaning related to “opposite”.
- Discuss the definitions of the two words.
- Write down the words and the definitions in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Word with “dis-”</th>
<th>Definition of the Word</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>disappear</td>
<td>to stop being seen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(the opposite of appear)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Distrust</td>
<td>to not trust (opposite of trust)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 Discourage</td>
<td>the opposite of encourage. To make someone feel bad.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Credit</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 (full credit)</td>
<td>The word entered by the student is a real word that clearly contains the word part “dis” having the meaning opposite or not. Examples include disregard, discourage, distrust. The word is also correctly defined.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (partial credit)</td>
<td>The word entered by the student is a real word that clearly contains the word part “dis” having the meaning opposite or not, but it is NOT correctly defined.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 (no credit)</td>
<td>The word entered by the student is not a real word, or does not really contain the word part “dis”.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Items 16 and 17
Initial Question Probe:

(1) Why did you choose that answer?

If students do not refer to specific word knowledge, then move to the drill down questions.

Drill Down Question:

(1) Did you use clues in the text and in the question to help you answer this question? What were they?

Teacher Considerations:

(1) **Word level:** Do students understand that the words they chose for items 16 and 17 need to have stems that can stand alone as their own words? Also, the stem words need to have meanings that are diametrically different from the words they form when including “dis.” For example, words like “disco” and “distance” would not fit these parameters.

Notes
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Directions:
• In questions 18 and 19, the subject of the sentence is underlined.
• Circle the verb that goes with the subject.
• One example has been done for you.

Example:
The subject is underlined. Circle the verb that goes with the subject.

Beekeepers and farmers need to protect their bees and animals.

18 The subject is underlined. Circle the verb that goes with the subject.

Simple solutions like fences save the lives of honey badgers.
(paragraph 2)

19 The subject is underlined. Circle the verb that goes with the subject.

In addition, some people hunt honey badgers to make traditional medicine. (paragraph 4)

1 (full credit) The main verb is correctly identified. The object of the main verb may also be circled (e.g., “save” or “save the lives of honey badgers” for #18 and “hunt” or “hunt honey badgers” for #19).

0 (no credit) The main verb is not correctly identified.
Item 18
Initial Question Probes:

(1) Why did you choose that answer?

(2) How did you know that “save” was the correct answer?

If students do not refer to it being a verb, then move to the drill down questions.

Drill Down Question:

(1) Did you use clues in the text and in the question to help you answer this question? What were they?

Teacher Considerations:

(1) Word level: Do students understand that the word “save” is the only verb in the sentence and therefore the only possible answer?

(2) Sentence level: Do students understand that the word “like” in the adjectival phrase “like fences” is not a verb in this context and so could not qualify as the answer?

Notes
Item 19

Initial Question Probes:

(1) Why did you choose that answer?

(2) How did you know that “hunt” is the correct answer?

If students do not refer to the structure of the sentence or parts of speech correctly, then move to the drill down questions.

Drill Down Question:

(1) Did you use clues in the text and in the question to help you answer this question? What were they?

Teacher Considerations:

(1) **Word level**: Do students understand that the word “hunt” is a verb? Can they explain how they know that it is a verb?

(2) **Sentence level**: Do students understand that the main verb of a sentence comes directly after the complete subject in the sentence? Do students understand that the verb phrase “to make” also wouldn’t work because it is not in agreement with the subject, i.e., people “to make” does not make sense?

Notes

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________
Directions: In question 20, choose the best paraphrase for the sentence. When you paraphrase, you say what the sentence says in your own words.

Example:

Sentence: There is a famous video on the internet that shows a fight between a honey badger and a puff adder, a kind of poisonous snake.

Paraphrase: On the internet people can see a honey badger fight a snake.

Read this sentence from paragraph 5. Choose the best paraphrase.

If biologists do not protect the honey badger soon, one day it may become extinct.

a) Honey badgers may become extinct even if biologists work very hard to protect them.

b) Honey badgers might disappear unless biologists work to keep them safe.

c) Biologists are working hard to protect the honey badger so that it does not disappear.
Item 20

Initial Question Probe:

(1) Why did you choose that answer?

If students do not refer to the structure of the sentence (if/then causal structure) and that “protect” means to “keep them safe” and that “extinct” means they will no longer exist, then move to the drill down questions.

Drill Down Question:

(1) Did you use clues in the text and in the question to help you answer this question? What were they?

Teacher Considerations:

(1) Word level: Do students understand that “protect” means to “keep safe” and that “extinct” means they will no longer exist? Do they also understand that “may” is a modal with the same connotation as “might” and that “disappear” is similar to extinct?

(2) Sentence level: Do students understand the causal structure of the sentence (if/then)? Do they also understand that “unless” is functioning to establish a similar set of casual relationships? In answer A, “even if” is establishing a different relationship than the causal structure of the prompt sentence. A clue that answer choice C is incorrect is that it is in the present tense, whereas answer choice B and the prompt sentence are similar because they are both speculating about biologists’ actions in the future.

Notes
Directions: In questions 21-25, think about how the different things the author says fit together to make an argument.

- For numbers 21 and 22, look at the example with your partner.
- Underline the sentence(s) that offer a solution in number 21.
- Underline the sentence(s) that give a warning in number 22.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Example:</th>
<th>The purpose of paragraph 1 is to introduce a problem and make a suggestion.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Underline the sentence or sentences that make a suggestion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The honey badger is a small animal. It lives in parts of Africa, the Middle East, and India. It is famous for being tough. However, in some areas honey badgers are disappearing. They are disappearing because humans hunt and trap them. Biologists need to protect the animals they study. They should do whatever they can to keep the honey badger safe.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The purpose of paragraph 5 is to offer a solution and give a warning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Underline the sentence or sentences that offer a solution.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>It is time for biologists to protect honey badgers. There is no good reason for people to kill them. Biologists should teach beekeepers and farmers to use simple, cheap methods to protect their animals. They should also teach people that eating the honey badger cannot make them strong and brave. If biologists do not protect the honey badger soon, one day it may become extinct.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Now underline the sentence or sentences that give a warning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>It is time for biologists to protect honey badgers. There is no good reason for people to kill them. Biologists should teach beekeepers and farmers to use simple, cheap methods to protect their animals. They should also teach people that eating the honey badger cannot make them strong and brave. If biologists do not protect the honey badger soon, one day it may become extinct.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Item 21
Initial Question Probes:

(1) Why did you choose that answer?
(2) How did you know those sentences “offered a solution”?

If students do not refer to the sentences describing actions biologists can take to help the honey badger, then move to the drill down questions.

Drill Down Question:

(1) Did you use clues in the text and in the question to help you answer this question? What were they?

Teacher Considerations:

(1) **Word level**: Do students understand that the main verbs of the target sentences are good clues for finding the “solutions” in the text, i.e., protect and teach?

(2) **Sentence level**: Do students understand that the word “should” in two of the target sentences are a clue that the sentences are describing suggestions about what needs to be done, i.e., a solution?

Notes
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Item 22
Initial Question Probes:

(1) Why did you choose that answer?

(2) How did you know that sentence “gives a warning”?

If students do not refer to this sentence describing a possible negative future outcome, then move to the drill down questions.

Drill Down Question:

(1) Did you use clues in the text and in the question to help you answer this question? What were they?

Teacher Considerations:

(1) **Word level**: Do students understand that the use of the modal verb “may” in the target sentence communicates future speculation – a frequent component of a warning?

(2) **Sentence level**: Do students understand the cause and effect structure of the sentence, i.e., if X doesn’t happen, then Y will, which is a common structure of warnings?

Notes
Directions: The graphic organizer below shows the author’s main argument.
• To answer questions 23 and 24, choose from the ANSWER CHOICES to fill in the blank spaces in the graphic organizer. (Write the letter of the correct choice on the line)
• One answer choice will not be used.

ANSWER CHOICES

A. There is a famous video that shows a honey badger fighting a snake.
B. People hunt honey badgers to make traditional medicine.
C. Biologists can teach people that eating honey badger cannot make them strong and brave.

Which two paragraphs in John Coates’ article give reasons that humans hunt honey badgers?
A. Paragraphs 2 and 3
B. Paragraphs 1 and 4
C. Paragraphs 1 and 3
D. Paragraphs 3 and 4
Item 23
Initial Question Probes:

(1) Why did you choose that answer?

(2) How did you know that sentence describes a “cause of the problem”?

If students do not refer to the “problem” as honey badgers disappearing or the “cause” as people hunting, then move to the drill down questions.

Drill Down Questions:

(1) Did you use clues in the text and the graphic organizer to help you answer this question? What were they?

(2) If students answered that they did not look in the text for clues or did not understand the graphic organizer on the assessment page, then ask them to see if they can find any clues in the text or graphic.

(3) What sentences or phrases in the article give you clues to help you understand the argument?

Teacher Considerations:

(1) Word level: Do students understand that the word “hunt” is used in one of the boxes already describing “Causes of the Problem” and so may also be used in the correct answer choice?

(2) Sentence level: Do students understand that they can refer back to the article text and underline sentences describing causes of the problem to help them conceptualize the information before looking at the answer choices?

(3) Graphic organizer level: Do students understand they need to equate the “problem” in the “Causes of the Problem” box with the “Problem” described in the box directly above it in the graphic organizer? Do students also understand that the information in box “23” needs to be parallel in content to the information in the box next to it, i.e., that both need to describe “Causes of the Problem”? These are both clues that can help students find the answer.

Notes
Item 24

Initial Question Probes:

(1) Why did you choose that answer?

(2) How did you know that sentence describes a “solution to the problem”?

If students do not refer to the “solution” as biologists protecting honey badgers or to people learning that eating honey badgers will not make them strong and brave, then move to the drill down questions.

Drill Down Questions:

(1) Did you use clues in the text and the graphic organizer to help you answer this question? What were they?

(2) If students answered that they did not look in the text for clues or did not understand the graphic organizer on the assessment page, then ask them to see if they can find any clues in the text or graphic to make more sense of the argument.

(3) What sentences or phrases in the article give you clues to help you understand the argument?

Teacher Considerations:

(1) **Word level**: Do students understand that the subject of the correct answer choice will be “biologists” since in the article text it is the biologists who are asked to save the honey badgers?

(2) **Sentence level**: Do students understand that they can refer back to the article text and underline sentences describing solutions to the problem to help them conceptualize the information before looking at the answer choices?

(3) **Graphic organizer level**: Do students understand they need to equate the “problem” in the “Solutions to the Problem” box with the “Problem” described in the box above it in the graphic organizer? Do students also understand that the information in box “24” needs to be parallel in content to the information in the box next to it, i.e., that both need to describe “Solutions the Problem”? These are both clues that can help students find the answer.
Item 25
Initial Question Probes:

(1) Why did you choose that answer?

(2) How did you know those paragraphs gave reasons that humans hunt honey badgers?

If students do not refer to the text mentioning honey badgers eating bees and farm animals or people making traditional medicines from honey badgers, then move to the drill down questions.

Drill Down Question:

(1) Did you use clues in the text and in the question to help you answer this question? What were they?

Teacher Considerations:

(1) **Word level**: Do students understand that the word “reason” in paragraph 3 refers to the reason humans hunt honey badgers? Do students understand that the infinitive verb “to make” in the first sentence of paragraph 4 begins a phrase that provides a reason people hunt honey badgers? This may be confusing for students since the reason is expressed without more common words students may expect such as “because”.

(2) **Sentence level**: Do students understand that the reasons given in paragraphs 1 and 5 are not related to why people hunt honey badgers but related to why biologists should protect honey badgers?

(3) **Discourse level**: Do students understand that the second sentence in paragraph 3, “One reason is that honey badgers like to eat bees and farm animals,” is an answer to the question posed in the previous sentence, “So, why do humans hunt honey badgers?”

Notes
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Directions: For questions 26 and 27, think about the connections between the two articles you have read.

John Coates and Monique Thomas disagree about whether or not honey badgers need protection.
- The table below contains two kinds of sentences:
  - Sentences that argue that honey badgers need protection
  - Sentences that argue that honey badgers DO NOT need protection
- Choose sentences from the ANSWER CHOICES to complete the table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ANSWER CHOICES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Farmers poison honey badgers to protect their animals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Honey badgers are wonderful animals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Honey badgers are living in more than 80 countries.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sentences that argue that honey badgers need protection</th>
<th>Sentences that argue that honey badgers do NOT need protection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>People kill honey badgers to make traditional medicine.</td>
<td>Many animals need protection more than the honey badger.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

26  A

27  B
Item 26

Initial Question Probes:

(1) Why did you choose that answer?

(2) How did you know that sentence argued that honey badgers needed protection?

If students do not refer to the sentence as a fact that contributes to honey badgers being in danger, which is corroborated in the John Coates article (paragraph 3), go to drill down questions.

Drill Down Question:

(1) Did you use clues in the text and in the question to help you answer this question? What were they?

Teacher Considerations:

(1) **Word level:** Do students understand that the word “poison” in answer choice A relates to a potential harm for honey badgers? Are students able to connect the noun “poison” in the John Coates article in paragraph 3 which is said to be used to kill honey badgers, with the verb “poison” in the answer choice? Can students use the word “wonderful” in answer choice B as a clue to understand that this is not the correct answer as it suggests the sentence is an opinion and not a fact?

(2) **Sentence level:** Do students understand that the pronoun “their” in answer choice A refers to the farmer’s animals? This may be a challenge for students to understand the referents. They have to infer from this information that the honey badger needs protection from the poisoning as the sentence instead describes actions taken to protect farm animals not honey badgers.

Notes
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Item 27
Initial Question Probes:

(1) Why did you choose that answer?

(2) How did you know that sentence argued that honey badgers do not need protection?

If students do not refer to the sentence as a fact that contributes to honey badgers not needing protection, which is corroborated in the Monique Thomas article (paragraph 2) through a contrast with the Philippine eagle, go to drill down questions

Drill Down Question:

(1) Did you use clues in the text and in the question to help you answer this question? What were they?

Teacher Considerations:

(1) Sentence level: Do students make the connection between the content in answer choice C with the similar sentence in the Monique Thomas article, paragraph 2, which contains the additional information that there are over one million honey badgers? This information provides students with context about honey badgers “liv[ing] in more than 80 countries.”

(2) Discourse level: Are students able to contrast the information in the article about the Philippine eagle with the information about the honey badger? Do students understand the meaning of “critically endangered” (word level) and that, based on the contrast made in the paragraph, this classification does not apply to honey badgers?

Notes
Directions: In questions 28 and 29, you need to make inferences. When you make an inference, you decide what is probably true based on what you have read.

- Read the statements in the table below.
- Place a check mark (✓) to show which author (John Coates or Monique Thomas) would probably agree with each statement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>John Coates</th>
<th>Monique Thomas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biologists should help the most endangered animals first.</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It is impossible to protect all animals, so biologists should focus on animals that are in danger of disappearing.</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biologists should try to protect all animals, even if they are not in danger of disappearing.</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Example:
Item 28
Initial Question Probes:

(1) Why did you choose that answer?

(2) How did you infer that Monique Thomas would probably agree with the statement?

If students do not refer to parts of the text that convey the idea that other animals need more protection than the honey badger (e.g., the last sentence in paragraph 1, the last sentence of paragraph 2, or the sentences in paragraph 3), go to drill down questions.

Drill Down Question:

(1) Did you use clues in the text and in the question to help you answer this question? What were they?

Teacher Considerations:

(1) Discourse level: It may be confusing for students to determine how much danger the honey badger is in because John Coats states that honey badgers are disappearing in some areas, whereas Monique Thomas states that there are 1 million honey badgers living in 80 countries. Also, Monique Thomas states that there are less than 500 Philippine eagles alive today. Do students understand that the meaning of “critically endangered” (word level) and that, based on the contrast made in the paragraph, this classification does not apply to honey badgers?

Notes
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Item 29
Initial Question Probes:

(1) Why did you choose that answer?

(2) How did you infer that John Coates would probably agree with the statement?

If students do not refer to parts of the text that convey the idea that biologist should try to protect all animals regardless of whether or not they are disappearing (e.g., the last two sentences in paragraph 1 or the sentences in paragraph 5), go to drill down questions.

Drill Down Question:

(1) Did you use clues in the text and in the question to help you answer this question? What were they?

Teacher Considerations:

(1) Discourse level: It may be confusing for students to determine the correct answer since both authors say that biologists can do more to protect animals. However, Monique Thomas argues that many more animals need protection than the honey badger (e.g., last sentence in paragraph 1, sentences in paragraph 3). Therefore, Monique Thomas is less likely to agree with the statement compared to John Coates.

Notes
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Questions 30 and 31 are about the **FIRST article only!**
*(Protecting a Strong Animal by John Coates)*

**Directions:** In questions 30 and 31, think about the author’s **argument** and the **evidence** he uses to support it. (Evidence is a fact or example that supports an argument.)

- Read the sentences in the table.
- Decide if each sentence...
  - **SUPPORTS the author’s argument** (makes it stronger)
  - **WEAKENS the author’s argument** (makes it weaker)
  - **IS NOT RELEVANT** (does not strengthen or weaken the argument).
- Place a check mark (✔) in the blank to show your answer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Example:</strong> People kill honey badgers to make traditional medicine.</th>
<th>Supports the author’s argument</th>
<th>Weakens the author’s argument</th>
<th>Is not relevant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>30</strong> The Philippine eagle is a large bird that lives only in the Philippines.</td>
<td>Supports the author’s argument</td>
<td>Weakens the author’s argument</td>
<td>✔ Is not relevant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>31</strong> Honey badgers will survive without help from biologists.</td>
<td>Supports the author’s argument</td>
<td>✔ Weakens the author’s argument</td>
<td>Is not relevant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Item 30

Initial Question Probes:

(1) Why did you choose that answer?

(2) How did you know that the sentence was not relevant?

If students do not refer to the information in the sentence coming from the second article, and therefore not relevant to John Coates’ argument, then go to drill down questions.

Drill Down Question:

(1) Did you use clues in the text and in the question to help you answer this question? What were they?

Teacher Considerations:

(1) Word level: Do students realize that the words and phrases in the prompt sentence, Philippine eagle, large bird, and the Philippines, refer to concepts not addressed in the John Coates article?

(2) Discourse level: Do students understand that the nature of evidence in support of an argument needs to link directly to the argument? Therefore, evidence about the eagle’s scarcity, i.e., that it lives only in the Philippines, does not have a connection to John Coates’ argument about the plight of the honey badger which does not make reference to the Philippine eagle as a source of evidence for his argument.

Notes
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Item 31
Initial Question Probes:

(1) Why did you choose that answer?

(2) How did you know that sentence weakens the author’s argument?

If students do not refer to the sentence giving an opposite opinion from the author, go to drill down questions.

Drill Down Question:

(1) Did you use clues in the text and in the question to help you answer this question? What were they?

Teacher Considerations:

(1) **Word level**: Do students understand that the word “without” in the prompt sentence is an antonym of “with”? This is significant in that if “with” were used in place of “without” in the prompt sentence, it would completely change the sentence’s meaning.

(2) **Sentence level**: Do students understand that the phrase in the prompt sentence, “will survive”, means the opposite in this context to John Coates’ words in the article, “may become extinct”? Do students understand that the phrase in the prompt sentence, “without help from biologists”, means in this context that honey badgers don’t need biologists, which is opposing evidence relative to the authors’ argument and so weakens it.

Notes

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________

________________________________________________________________________
Directions: You have read two articles. Each author made an argument about protecting honey badgers. Now it is time for you to decide whose argument was stronger.

- Choose the author who you agree with more.
- Use specific information from what you read to explain why you think their argument was the strongest.

Who do you agree with more about protecting honey badgers?

☐ I agree with John Coates more.
☒ I agree with Monique Thomas more.

Now write a paragraph to explain your choice.
Remember to use specific reasons from the articles you have read.

I agree with Monique Thomas more. She says that we should protect the animals that are in real danger. I agree with that. The honey badger doesn't seem to be disappearing everywhere, but some other animals are, like the Philippines Eagle. So, I think we should protect animals like the Philippines Eagle first, and then protect other animals like honey badgers.
Item 32

**Constructed response:** Responses will vary. Responses receiving full credit (see rubric below) should show evidence that the student correctly understood the arguments made by John Coates and Monique Baron (i.e., their disagreement over which animals need protection) and should cite specific details from the article to support the student’s opinion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2 (full credit)</th>
<th>One opinion choice is checked, and at least one fully developed reason with specific details is provided that clearly supports the opinion and engages the content of the articles (i.e., whether honey badgers need additional protection).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 (partial credit)</td>
<td>One opinion choice is checked and there is interpretable writing in the blank space, but the reason is not fully developed. It may lack clarity, include irrelevant information, or fail to engage the content of the articles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 (no credit)</td>
<td>No response; if an opinion choice has been checked, there may be no reason provided, or the reason is completely lacking in clarity, relevance, or connection to the content of the articles.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Teacher Considerations:**

Pay attention to the degree to which students accurately cite specific examples from the text. This will indicate the students’ general comprehension and reasoning of the author’s argument.

**Notes**
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